GRACE A. GALLIGHER, S.B.N. 106687 Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations, Inc. 1901 Alhambra Blvd., 2nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 736-0616

JUN 1 1996

By, K. WELLS Deputy

Attorney for plaintiffs

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

GARIB MURADYAN, et.al.,) .	CASE NO 372922
)	
Plaintiffs /Petitioners,)	STIPULATION OF
)	SETTLEMENT AND
v.)	CONSENT DECREE
)	
ELOISE ANDERSON, Director, California)	
Department of Social Services, et. al.,)	
)	
Defendants/Respondents.)	
)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. In this class action, plaintiffs seek relief arising from sanctions imposed based on defendants' alleged policy of requiring applicants for and recipients of Aid to Families with Dependent Children ("AFDC") to attend orientation and/or appraisal activities for the Greater Avenues of Independence ("GAIN") program, even though the individual claimed that he or she was remote from these GAIN activities.
- 2. The parties wish to settle this litigation by entering into this stipulated agreement which includes prospective relief requiring the county welfare departments to determine whether the remoteness exemption applies to the AFDC

applicant or recipient before the AFDC applicant or recipient is sent a GAIN registration form. The Department of Social Services ("Department") and county welfare departments will apply the remoteness exemption to all GAIN activities, including orientation and appraisal. In light of this prospective relief, and because of the expense and time which would be required by the parties to identify any individual class members, retroactive relief is waived.

- 3. For purposes of this consent decree, the parties agree that the class is defined as those GAIN participants or registrants who were sanctioned for their failure to attend the GAIN orientation or appraisal activities, even though they were remote from these GAIN activities.
- 4. This consent decree shall be binding upon all class members and the Director of the Department, and her employees, agents, successors in interest, and all persons acting by, through, or under them, including the counties, and their employees, agents, and all persons acting by, through, or under them.
- 5. In December 1995, the Department implemented regulations and procedures requiring the counties to determine whether an AFDC applicant/recipient is exempt due to remoteness prior to sending the individual a GAIN Registration form (GAIN 24). At the time an individual applies for AFDC, counties are required to provide the GAIN Program Notice (GAIN 53). The GAIN Program Notice informs the AFDC applicant to request a GAIN Exemption Request form (GAIN 52) in order to request a GAIN exemption. Counties are required to complete a GAIN Exemption Determination form (GAIN 63) and send a copy to the

AFDC applicant prior to sending the GAIN Registration form (GAIN 24).

- 6. Pursuant to this consent decree, the Department shall develop and implement a revised, combined GAIN Exemption Request (GAIN 52) and GAIN Program Notice (GAIN 53) form. This form shall be provided to: (1) AFDC applicants at the time the individual applies for AFDC; (2) AFDC recipients at the time of the GAIN orientation and appraisal; and (3) AFDC applicants/recipients upon request. The Department shall develop and send an All-County Letter informing the counties of this revised, combined form.
- 7. The Department has adopted and promulgated regulations necessary to implement the Stipulation for Agreement and Consent Decree on an emergency basis subject to the requirements and approval of the Office of Administrative Law.
- 8. The Department shall transmit to plaintiffs' counsel the All-County Letter and revised, combined GAIN Exemption Request (GAIN 52) and GAIN Program Notice (GAIN 53) form as soon as administratively possible. Plaintiffs' counsel shall return comments on the documents within ten working days from receipt of such documents.
- 9. The Department shall provide plaintiffs' counsel with copies of the correspondence between the Department and the county welfare departments and copies of the county welfare departments' instructions to their staff regarding the definition and application of the remoteness exemption for a period of one year after the Stipulation and Consent Decree is signed by the court.
 - 10. All claims raised by plaintiffs in their First Amended Petition for Writ of

Mandate, Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus, Request for Taxpayers'
Injunctive Relief, and Retroactive Benefits, which are not specifically addressed in
the Stipulation for Agreement and Consent Decree, are abandoned.

- 11. Within five days from the date that the court order approving this stipulation and consent decree becomes final, plaintiffs will dismiss this action with prejudice.
- 12. Attorney's fees and costs, if any, sought by plaintiffs will be the subject of separate negotiations, and proceedings, if necessary. Dismissal of this action will not prejudice any claim for attorney's fees and costs.
- 13. The Department does not admit to any wrongdoing by entering into this Stipulation for Agreement and Consent Decree.
- 14. Nothing in this Stipulation and Consent Decree shall prohibit defendants from implementing any policies or practices relating to the GAIN program required by changes in state or federal law. In the event that changes in state or federal law require elimination of the remoteness exemption, then the terms of this Stipulation and Consent Decree will automatically become null and void. In the event that changes in state or federal law require substantial modification of the remoteness exemption, or the exemption determination process, then the parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether the terms of this Stipulation and Consent Decree should be modified.

////

1	15. The Court shall retain jurisdiction for a period of one year from the date			
2	the Stipulation and Consent Decree is signed by the court.			
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
4				
5	DATED: May <u>17</u> , 1996	a.		
6		Aure a Gallaher		
7		GRACE A. GALLIGHER		
8	4	Attorney for Plaintiffs Coalition of California		
9		Welfare Rights Organizations		
10	10			
11	DATED: May 221996	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California		
12	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Dennis Eckhart, Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
13				
14 15		Indication tech		
16		ANDREA LYNN HOCH Deputy Attorney General		
17	y.	Attorney for Defendants		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
19				
20	DATED: 6/11/96			
21	DATED: WITH TO			
22		CECILY BOND		
23		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
24	v ,			
25				
26				
27				
28	,	5		