```
GIRVAN PECK
    JOHN W. SPIEGEL
    DOUGLAS COLE GRIJALVA
    PORTIA R. MOORE
                                                     NUN 2 0 1983
 3
    MORRISON & FOERSTER
    One Market Plaza
 4
    Spear Street Tower
    San Francisco, CA 94105
                                                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFF
 5
    MARK N. AARONSON
 6
    San Francisco Lawyer's Committee
       for Urban Affairs
 7
    625 Market Street, Ste. 915
    San Francisco, CA 94105
    MARILYN KAPLAN KATZ
    Western Center on Law & Poverty, Inc.
    3535 West Sixth Street
10
    Los Angeles, CA 90020
11
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12
    JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP
    Attorney General of the
13
       State of California
    JOHN J. KLEE, JR.
14
    Deputy Attorney General
    6000 State Building
15
    San Francisco, CA 94102
16
    Attorneys for Defendant
      Linda McMahon
17
    JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP
1.8
    Attorney General of the
       State of California
19
    JEFFREY J. FULLER
    Deputy Attorney General
20
    1515 "K" Street, Ste. 511
    Sacramento, CA 95814
21
    Attorneys for Defendant
22
      Michael Franchetti
23
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
24
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
25
    SANDRA TURNER, et al.,
26
                                       Civil Action No. C 81-4457 TEH
                    Plaintiffs,
27
    LINDA McMAHON, et al.,
28
                    Defendants.
    CONSENT DECREE RE PLTF'S
    FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
```

1	CONSENT DECREE RE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
2	FIRST CASSE OF ACTION
3	Plaintiffs Sandra Turner, Debra Scruggs, Jerrylean
4	Baker, and the California Coalition of Welfare Rights Organiza
5	tions, filed their First Amended Complaint in this case
6,	against (a) Marion Woods, individually and as Director of
7	Social Services of the State of California (DSS); (b) Kyle
8	McKinsey, individually and as Deputy Director of DSS; (c) Mary
9	Ann Graves, individually and as Director of the Department of
10	Finance of the State of California; (d) the Department of
11	Social Services of the State of California; and (e) the
12	Department of Finance of the State of California. The Court
13	issued a Preliminary Injunction on December 21, 1981 and
14	certified this case as a class action on March 22, 1982. The
15	parties having engaged in extensive discovery, having entered
16	into negotiations leading to a resolution of the disputed
17	issues raised by the allegations of the First Cause of Action
18.	of plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, and having consented
19	to the provisions of this judgment in its entirety,
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS
21	FOLLOWS:
22	I
23	DEFINITIONS
24	1. The following terms are hereby defined as used
25	in this Decree:
26	a. "AFDC" refers to the federal-state
27	welfare program known as Aid to Families with Dependent
28	

1 Children, operated pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 601 et seq.,
2 or any essentially similar successor program(s).

- b. "All-County Letter(s)" or "All-County Information Notice(s)" refers to standard DSS written instructions to all counties regarding implementation of the AFDC program.
- c. "County" or "Counties" refers to the
 58 counties within the State of California and their
 respective Departments or personnel which administer the
 AFDC program, as agents of DSS.
 - d. "DSS" refers to the Department of Social Services or any successor agency responsible for administering AFDC in the State of California.
 - e. "Explanation of reasons for intended action" or "explanations" refers to that portion of a Notice of Action which explains the reasons for the proposed action, including a statement of the rule of law being applied and why the recipient's individual circumstances require the action taken. It also includes, when applicable, a statement of what information or action is needed to reverse the proposed action, to reestablish eligibility or to determine the correct amount of aid. See DSS All-County Information Notice I-151-82, November 23, 1982.
 - f. "Law change(s)" refers to any change in local, state or federal law and shall include a change in statute, regulation or rule, as well as a change required by court decision or order.

1	g. "Notice(s) of Action" refers to any
.2	notice sent to an AFDC recipient purporting to inform
3	him or her of any proposed action affecting an AFDC
4	grant, including but not limited to suspension, reduc-
5	tion, discontinuance or denial of a grant.
6,	h. "Notice of Action forms" or "forms"
7	refers to preprinted Notice of Action form(s), including
8	forms which are used for computer generated Notices of
9	Action, which contain general information concerning
10	matters such as hearing rights and spousal support
11	enforcement, and which would be completed by the counties
12	with information applicable to individual AFDC recipients.
13	Examples of existing "Notice of Action forms" are
14	current DSS forms NA 290, NA 291 and NA 990.
15	i. "Plaintiffs' counsel" refers to the
16	San Francisco Lawyers' Committee for Urban Affairs, and
17	the Western Center on Law and Poverty, Inc. or such
18	substituted counsel as approved by the Court.
19	j. "Recipient(s)" refers to both applicants
20	for and recipients of AFDC grants.
21	k. "Recipient information notices" or
22	"notices" refers to any general notices sent by the
23	counties to AFDC recipients informing them of law
24	changes.
25	
26	
27	

1 2 ΙI 3 GENERAL PROVISIONS 4 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action. 5 3. The parties agree that this action may be, and 6 is hereby, dismissed against (a) the Department of Social Services, (b) the Department of Finance, (c) Marion Woods, in 7 his individual capacity, (d) Mary Ann Graves, in her individual 8 9 capacity, and (e) Kyle McKinsey, in his individual and official capacity. The remaining defendants are Linda 10 McMahon, in her official capacity as Director of the Department 11 12 of Social Services, and Michael Franchetti, in his official 13 capacity as Director of the Department of Finance. Defendants 14 McMahon and Franchetti enter into this agreement which binds them, their successors in office and the officers, employees 15 and agents of their respective departments, including county 16 17 welfare departments. 18 4. For purposes of this Consent Decree, the

19 plaintiffs are representatives of a class, previously certified under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, of all present and future California 20 21 AFDC recipients who are or will be affected by Public Law 97-35 and whose benefits are reduced, suspended or terminated 22 23 as a result of changes in State and federal law and who fail 24 to receive constitutionally adequate notice of the reasons 25 for the change in their grant and of their right to an 26 administrative appeal. It is the intent of this Consent 27 Decree to bind the members of that class to the extent 28 permitted by law.

•

- 5. The parties agree that this Consent Decree
- 2 addresses only issues raised by the First Cause of Action in
- 3 Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and that this Decree is
- 4 final and binding only as to the issues in the First Cause of
- 5 Action resolved herein.
- 6. The parties agree that a legally adequate
- 7 Notice of Action is one which (a) informs the recipient of
- 8 the action the county intends to take, (b) gives an explanation
- 9 of reasons for intended action, (c) where appropriate, sets
- 10 forth the necessary calculations, (d) cites the regulation or
- 11 statute supporting the action and (e) explains the recipient's
- 12 right to appeal and aid-paid-pending. The parties have
- 13 agreed through this Consent Decree to establish a procedure
- 14 to assure that Notices of Action are as comprehensible as
- 15 possible, both in the format in which the information is
- 16 presented and in the language used.
- 7. DSS and the counties are permanently enjoined
- 18 from terminating, suspending, or reducing an AFDC recipient's
- 19 benefits unless the recipient receives a legally adequate
- 20 Notice of Action.
- 21 8. DSS and the counties are permanently enjoined
- from using Notice of Action forms NA 292 and NA 293, attached
- 23 as Exhibits A and B. DSS shall instruct the counties that
- such use is prohibited.
- 9. DSS shall neither adopt nor revise Notice of
- 26 Action forms, explanations of reasons for intended action, or
- 27 recipient information notices except as provided in this
- 28 Consent Decree.

1	10. DSS shall instruct the counties to use only
,2	the Notice of Action forms, format, explanations of reasons
3	for intended action and recipient information notices as
4	provided in this Consent Decree.
5	11. Notice to the class, as defined in paragraph 4
6,	above, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) may be required as
7	deemed appropriate by the Court.
8	12. Copies of documents referred to in this
9	Consent Decree but not attached as Exhibits are in an Appendix
10	filed separately with the Court.
11	
12	III
13	REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES FOR LAW
14	CHANGES OCCURRING FROM THE DATE OF THIS DECREE UNTIL OCTOBER 1, 1985
15	13. From the date of the entry of this decree to
16	October 1, 1985, DSS shall deliver to plaintiffs' counsel
17	copies of all proposed All-County Letters (or similar documents)
18	containing explanations of reasons for intended action or
19	recipient information notices developed by DSS to implement
20	law changes (together with exemplars of how such explanations
21	and notices will appear when used by both computer and
22	non-computer counties) at least 10 days before transmitting
23	the All-County Letters to the counties.
24	14. Plaintiffs' counsel shall attempt to provide
25	DSS with any objections to the legal adequacy of such notices
26	and explanations as expeditiously as possible, and in no
27	event more than four days after receipt of the DSS proposal.

- 1 15. Plaintiffs' counsel and DSS shall attempt to
- 2 resolve any differences regarding the proposed explanations
- 3 or notices as expeditiously as possible. If the parties
- 4 cannot resolve their differences, plaintiffs shall, no later
- 5 than six days after receipt of the DSS proposal, request a
- 6 conference with the Court.
- 7 l6. Within four days of plaintiffs' counsel's
- 8 request for a conference with the Court pursuant to paragraph
- 9 15, the Court will hold a conference with the parties. The
- 10 parties shall have the opportunity to make written submissions
- 11 to the Court.
- 12 17. At the conclusion of the conference, or after
- 13 the conference but within the four days provided for in
- 14 paragraph 16, the Court will:
- a. Rule as to the legal adequacy of the
- explanations or notices proposed by DSS and objected to
- by plaintiffs' counsel, or
- b. In the event that, for any reason, the
- 19 Court is not able to rule on the legal adequacy of the
- explanations or notices, provide such guidance as may be
- appropriate to the parties; or
- c. Rule as to some explanations or notices
- and provide appropriate guidance as to others pursuant
- to paragraphs 17(a) and (b).
- 25 18. In making rulings regarding the legal adequacy
- of Notice of Action forms, explanations of reasons for
- intended actions or recipient information notices under
- paragraphs 17 or 27, the Court will not declare the DSS

- 1 proposals legally inadequate unless they do not meet the
- 2 minimum standard for adequacy under applicable constitutional,
- 3 statutory and/or regulatory law. The Court recognizes that
- 4 although a major goal of this Consent Decree is to produce
- forms, explanations and notices that are as comprehensible as
- 6 possible (i.e., the very best that can be done), that such
- 7 standard is not the criterion for measuring legal adequacy.
- 8 19. If the Court rules that an explanation or
- 9 notice proposed by DSS is legally inadequate, DSS shall be
- 10 enjoined from instructing the counties to use such explanation
- or notice and shall prohibit the counties from implementing
- 12 the law change until a legally adequate explanation or notice
- is approved by the Court. However, if for any reason the
- 14 Court does not rule on the legal adequacy of an explanation
- or notice, DSS shall not be prevented from instructing the
- 16 counties to use the explanation or notice as originally
- 17 proposed or as amended by DSS after consideration of the
- 18 advice of plaintiffs' counsel or of the Court, subject to any
- 19 subsequent order of the Court holding that the explanation or
- 20 notice is legally inadequate.
- 21 20. The time periods provided in paragraphs 13
- through 19 are minimums. If DSS provides more advance notice
- 23 to plaintiffs' counsel, the time periods shall be extended
- 24 proportionally. DSS shall make all best efforts to provide
- the items described in paragraph 13 to plaintiffs' counsel at
- the earliest time practicable.
- 27 21. In the event a court order in another action
- requires changes in the AFDC program affecting the determination

1	of aid amounts, the receipt of aid or eligibility for aid,
, 2	nothing in this decree shall be deemed to preclude the
3	immediate implementation of that court's ruling, if that
4	court so orders. DSS shall immediately send to plaintiff's
5	counsel a copy of such court order.
6,	
7	IV
8 9	REVISION AND DEVELOPMENT OF FORMS AND EXPLANATIONS OF REASONS FOR INTENDED ACTION
10	22. DSS agrees to retain a consultant to assist
11	DSS in the development of Notice of Action forms, explanations
12	of reasons for intended action and recipient information
13	notices in accordance with the goals and terms of this
14	decree.
15	a. DSS shall, with the assistance of plaintiffs'
16	counsel and, if necessary, the Court, prepare a Request
17	for Proposal (RFP) which describes the qualifications
18	and duties of the consultant prior to soliciting bids
19	for the consultant contract.
20	b. The RFP must provide, at the minimum,
21	that the consultant will perform the following tasks:
22	(1) Obtain information and data regarding
23	the literacy level of AFDC recipients from
24	currently available sources and, to the extent
25	he or she deems necessary, do limited field
26	testing to determine their understanding of
27	the AFDC program and their fair hearing
28	rights. It is not envisioned by the parties

1 that such field testing, if undertaken by the 2 consultant, will require a significant amount 3 of the consultant's time. 4 (2) Review all DSS Notice of Action 5 forms used by the counties to inform recipients 6 of their AFDC eligibility status or aid amount 7 (including the forms referred to in Part V), 8 and assist DSS in preparing revisions of all 9 such forms with the goal of making the forms 1.0 as comprehensible as possible in language and 11 format to the AFDC recipient population. 12 Review current explanations of 13 reasons for intended action specified in 14 Exhibit C to this Decree and assist DSS in 15 preparing revisions of all such explanations 16 with the goal of making such explanations as 17 comprehensible as possible in language and 18 format to the AFDC recipient population. 19 (4) Assist DSS in the development of the 20 language and format of explanations of reasons 21 for intended action and recipient information 22 notices due to law changes which are enacted 23 or adopted during the term of the consultant's 24 contract and such changes adopted pursuant to 25 Part III of this Decree adopted prior to the 26 retention of the consultant. 27 (5) Assume the major responsibility in 28 the preparation of a manual explaining techniques

1 and criteria for drafting comprehensible 2 Notice of Action forms, explanations of 3 reasons for intended action, and recipient 4 information notices which can be used by DSS 5 and the counties in drafting future forms, 6 explanations and notices. 7 Assist in training DSS staff respon-8 sible for Notice of Action forms, explanations 9 of reasons for intended action and recipient 10 information notices on how to draft such 11 forms, explanations and notices so that they 12 are as comprehensible as possible to the AFDC 13 recipient population. 14 The consultant will be required to (7) 15 (a) meet with plaintiffs' counsel 16 at least quarterly during the contract 17 period to discuss the work undertaken 18 (one observer from DSS may be present at 19 such meetings), and 20 (b) submit to plaintiffs' counsel 21 at the same time as the consultant 22 submits to DSS his or her final draft of 23 recommended Notice of Action forms. 24 explanations of reasons for intended 25 action, recipient information notices, 26 and the manual specified in subsection (5) 27 above.

L		C.	ŗ	lhe	RFP	provided	in	paragraph	22(a)	shall
2	be	completed	bу	Jul	y 8.	, 1983.				

d. DSS shall create a selection committee to
screen bids received in response to the RFP and to
select the consultant from those individuals or organiza-
tions which submit responsive bids. Plaintiffs' counsel
shall designate a representative to serve as a member of
the selection committee. From those bids which are
responsive to the RFP the selection committee shall pick
three bids in descending order of preference. If
plaintiffs' counsel objects to the consultant chosen by
the selection committee, plaintiffs may, by appropriate
noticed motion, petition the Court to overrule any or
all of the selection committee's three choices. Plaintiffs
shall have the burden of showing that any or all of the
choices of the selection committee (1) are not qualified
to perform the tasks to be undertaken by the consultant,
(2) have not submitted a bid that is responsive to the
RFP, or (3) will likely not accomplish the goals set
forth in this Decree. If the plaintiffs make the
necessary showing as to any or all of the three choices,
the Court shall overrule the choice(s) of the selection
committee. If the Court overrules all three choices,
the selection committee shall select three more choices
pursuant to the procedures set forth above. Plaintiffs
shall have the same right to challenge any or all of
those choices pursuant to the procedures above. This
procedure shall continue until an appropriate consultant

1	is selected. DSS shall contract with a consultant by
. 2	November 1, 1983, or as soon thereafter as possible.
3	e. DSS shall initially make available a sum
4	of up to \$50,000 to fund the consultant contract. In
5	the event that all bids responsive to the requirements
6,	of the RFP are greater than \$50,000, DSS shall obtain an
7	additional sum of up to \$50,000 by either legislative
8	appropriation or by allocation of the additional sum
9	from the DSS budget.
10	23. DSS shall review and, if necessary, propose
11	revisions to:
12	a. All DSS Notice of Action forms used by
13	the counties, including the forms specified in section V;
14	b. the current explanations of reasons for
15	intended action specified in Exhibit C to this Decree;
16	c. explanations of reasons for intended
L7	action and recipient information notices adopted pursuant
18	to Part III; and
19	d. any forms, explanations or notices
20	adopted pursuant to paragraph 32.
21	This process of review and revision is intended to make the
22 .	language and format of all such forms, explanations and
23	notices as comprehensible as possible for the AFDC recipient
24	population.
25	24. DSS shall serve on plaintiffs' counsel and
26	file with the Court a statement indicating its conclusions
27	regarding the review and revisions, if any, of the documents
28	specified in paragraph 23 (including its decision not to

- 1 revise any particular form(s) or explanation(s) that have
- 2 been reviewed). Along with the aforesaid statment, DSS shall
- 3 include copies of the reviewed or revised documents which it
- 4 intends to instruct the counties to use and the proposed,
- 5 accompanying All-County Letter.
- 6 25. Within thirty days of the receipt of the
- 7 material provided pursuant to paragraph 24, plaintiffs'
- 8 counsel shall communicate with DSS and shall file with the
- 9 Court any objections they may have regarding the legal
- 10 adequacy of this material.
- 11 26. If such objections are filed with the Court,
- 12 DSS shall file their response to plaintiffs' counsel's
- objections within twenty-one days. Plaintiffs' counsel shall
- 14 file any reply to DSS's response within seven days.
- 15 27. The Court shall rule as to the legal adequacy
- of the reviewed or revised documents specified in paragraph 23
- 17 as submitted by DSS. DSS shall not transmit to the counties
- 18 or instruct the counties to use the reviewed or revised
- documents specified in paragraph 23 until the Court has
- approved the documents as legally adequate.
- 21 28. DSS shall require the counties to use the
- Notice of Action forms, explanations of reasons for intended
- 23 action, and recipient information notices as approved by the
- 24 Court. No deviations shall be permitted, except as follows:
- 25 a. DSS may permit a county which uses
- computer generated Notices of Action to deviate, with
- respect to that portion of the Notice of Action printed
- by the computer, from the type face and type size

mandated by DSS to the extent that the replication of differences in type face and type size is not feasible with its existing computer capability. DSS must instruct the county to use typographical features that approximate the typographical features of the required format (for example, similiar use of white space and, if feasible, the use of underlining of capitalized words to provide emphasis). Further, DSS shall encourage the counties when obtaining or changing computer facilities for sending Notices of Actions to obtain such computer facilities as will allow maximum flexibility with respect to the Counties' ability to replicate DSS required format of notices.

b. Before DSS permits a county to deviate in accordance with paragraph 28(a), DSS shall deliver to plaintiff's counsel a copy of the county's proposed exception to the DSS mandated Notice of Action format at least three weeks prior to instructing the counties that such deviations in format will be allowed by DSS.

approval of all forms and explanations (including Court approval of existing forms and explanations, if applicable) undertaken pursuant to this Part IV shall be completed by October 1, 1985. The counties shall be required to implement the mandated changes in forms and explanations by no later than January 1, 1986.

1	Λ
2	INTERIM NOTICE OF ACTION FORMS
3	PRIOR TO REVISION BY DSS
4	30. Until the revision of forms pursuant to
5	Part IV, and within 30 days of the signing of this decree,
6.	DSS shall instruct the counties to use only the forms currently
7	in use and to transmit to DSS copies of all forms currently
8	being used, including exemplars of any heretofore approved
9	deviations from otherwise presently mandated forms. DSS
10	shall send to plaintiffs' counsel copies of all such forms
11	received from the counties within 10 days of their receipt
12	from the counties.
13	31. Until the revision of forms pursuant to
14	Part IV, DSS shall instruct the counties to use only the form
15	attached as Exhibit D to this decree as the "back" side of
16	all AFDC Notice of Action forms, subject to the following
17	additional qualifications:
18	a. Plaintiffs' counsel shall provide DSS
19	with the current names, addresses, and telephone numbers
20	of legal aid or welfare rights organizations which
21	provide direct assistance to individual welfare recipients
22 .	in each county. DSS shall suggest to each county that
23	it specify in the space allotted on the aforesaid
24	attached form the name, address, and telephone number of
25	the appropriate legal aid or welfare rights organization.
26	b. In agreeing to include information about
27	aid paid pending on the aforesaid attached form, plaintiffs
28	neither admit nor stipulate to the legality of the

1 policy and practice of treating aid-paid-pending a "fair 2 hearing" decision as an overpayment when the hearing 3 decision determines that a recipient received more aid 4 than he or she was entitled to receive, nor does the 5 Court in endorsing this decree rule on this issue. 6 32. During the period prior to the retention of 7 the consultant pursuant to paragraph 22, DSS may develop and 8 instruct the counties to use revised Notice of Action forms. 9 explanations and notices if: 10 plaintiffs' counsel agree to such use, or 11 b. absent consent from plaintiffs' counsel, 12 the Court approves such use. 13 VI 14 CONTINUING REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES 15 In addition to the provisions set forth above, DSS 16 hereby agrees to do the following on an ongoing basis: 17 33. Continue to instruct the counties to follow 18 All-County Information Notice I-151-82. 19 Utilize the techniques and criteria articulated 34. 20 in the manual described in paragraph 22(b)(5) of this Decree, 21 as appropriate, when drafting all subsequent Notice of Action 22 forms, explanations of reasons for intended action and 23 recipient information notices. 24 35. Prepare Spanish language versions of Notice of 25 Action forms, explanations of reasons for intended action and 26 recipient information notices for distribution to the counties 27 at the same time as distribution of English language versions

28

(nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the availability

1	of such material in other languages pursuant to the Bilingual
2	Services Act, California Government Code § 7290 et seq. and
3	DSS Regulations on Civil Rights, DSS Manual of Policies and
4	Procedures § 21-115 et seq).
5	36. Consult with representatives of AFDC recipients
6,	prior to the adoption of new or revised Notice of Action
7	forms, explanations of reasons for intended action or recipient
8	information notices, as follows:
9	a. Until October 1, 1985, the provisions set
10	forth in Parts III through V of this Consent Decree that
11	govern notice to plaintiffs' counsel shall satisfy this
12	requirement.
13	b. By October 1, 1985, DSS shall establish,
14	with assistance from plaintiffs' counsel, methods for
15	obtaining the views of AFDC recipient representatives on
16	a continuing basis. These methods inter alia shall
17	specify the following:
18	(1) DSS shall designate as recipient
19	representatives one person recommended by the
20	California Coalition of Welfare Rights Organiza-
21	tions or comparable state-wide organization;
22 _	the members, if any, of DSS's public assistance
23	recipient advisory council; and 6-10 persons
24	selected by DSS from a list of persons identified
25	by the counties as local welfare rights
26	organization representatives;
27	(2) DSS shall deliver to such recipient
28	representatives advance copies of all proposed

1 documents which fall within this requirement, 2 ordinarily at least thirty (30) days, and in 3 emergency situations at least ten (10) days, 4 before instructing the counties to implement 5 the proposed changes. If DSS must instruct 6 the counties about a law change in less than 7 10 days, DSS shall provide the recipient 8 representatives copies of the aforesaid 9 documents as soon as feasible and in any event 10 no later than the date on which such documents 11 are transmitted to the counties. 12 37. Monitor and enforce compliance by the counties 13 with the provisions of this Decree and the requirements of 14 legally adequate Notice of Action to AFDC recipients by 15 taking all steps necessary to achieve such compliance as 16 quickly as possible. 17 Until January 15, 1986, DSS shall send to 38. plaintiffs' counsel, by the fifteenth day of July, October, 18 19 January and April, quarterly reports which include the 20 following information: 21 A random sample of AFDC recipient requests 22 for fair hearings for the previous three months, such 23 sample to be derived by selecting every 100th fair

deleted) received by DSS. 27 A random sample of AFDC fair hearing 28 decisions, including the underlying Notice of Action

24

25

26

hearing request with accompanying Notice of Action

(names and addresses of affected recipients to be

1	appealed from, for the preceding three months, such
.2	sample to be derived by selecting every 25th fair
3	hearing decision (names and addresses of affected
4	recipients to be deleted).
5	c. A random sample of AFDC fair hearing
6,	decisions dismissing the recipient's appeal pursuant to
7	paragraph 45(e) of this Decree, said sample to be
8	derived by selecting every second such decision of
9	dismissal (names and addresses of affected recipients to
10	be deleted).
11	d. A statement of all steps taken by DSS to
12	obtain county compliance with the terms of this Decree
13	during the preceding three months. This statement shall
14	include copies of all Notices of Action determined not
15	to be in compliance and all written communications
16	between DSS and the counties regarding such non-compliance
17	VII
18	LIMITATION ON WHEN WELFARE & INSTITUTIONS
19	CODE SECTION 10950 MAY BE INVOKED TO RESTRICT HEARING RIGHTS OF AFDC RECIPIENTS
20	39. The parties agree that the Section 10950
21	limitation on fair hearing rights shall only be invoked by
22	DSS to limit fair hearing rights of AFDC recipients when a
23	law change requires an arithmetical adjustment to a preexisting
24	grant level or statutory income disregard or a withdrawal of
25	eligibility from a class of recipients and is not likely to
26	generate disputes about individual factual circumstances.
27	Specifically, DSS shall be prohibited from invoking the

Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing rights for AFDC

recipients unless the law change meets either of the following criteria:

3 The law change makes a specific change in a. a dollar amount for computation of a recipient's grant. 4 5 Examples of such changes include statutory changes in 6 (1) the standard of need (Minimum Allowable Basic 7 Standard of Care -- MABSC) for a particular Family 8 Budget Unit (FBU), (2) the maximum grant payable to a 9 particular FBU, (3) a specific dollar limitation under the program for special needs, and (4) the dollar amount 10 11 of a standardized deduction or disregard, e.g., a change 12 in the \$75.00 standard work expense deduction, the 13 \$160.00 child care expense limitation or the \$30.00 and 14 1/3 work incentive disregard currently specified in 15 42 U.S.C. § 602(a)(8); or

b. The law change effects the status, e.g., eligibility, of a class of recipients and is not likely to raise factual disputes. An example of such a change would be a provision that made 18 year olds ineligible for assistance in all circumstances. An example of a status change in which the Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing rights shall not be invoked would be a provision that made 18 year olds ineligible unless they were attending high school or engaged in gainful employment.

40. The parties agree that none of the law changes proposed in the following California legislative bills in versions pending as of May 23, 1983 involve changes to which the Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing rights would be

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1 applicable under the criteria specified above: S.B. 124,
- 2 A.B. 223, and S.B. 962 except Section 14.
- 3 41. The parties also agree that none of the Public
- 4 Law 97-35 law changes affecting AFDC grant computations or
- 5 eligibility implemented by California, except the provision
- 6 limiting payments to amounts of \$10 or more and the provision
- 7 making all 19-year olds ineligible for assistance, involve
- 8 changes to which the Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing
- 9 rights would be applicable under the criteria specific above.
- 10 42. The Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing
- 11 rights of AFDC recipients shall not apply to any grant
- 12 decrease, suspension, or termination which results from the
- simultaneous application of a law change(s) and a change(s)
- 14 in the recipient's circumstances (such as a change in earnings
- or family size). In the case of a grant adjustment resulting
- 16 from the simultaneous application of two or more law changes,
- 17 the Section 10950 limitation shall not be applied unless both
- or all of the law changes meet the criteria set forth above.
- 19 43. The Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing
- 20 rights may be invoked by DSS only for the very first month
- 21 that the particular law change(s) affects a class of recipients
- 22 in a particular county.
- 23 44. Until October 1, 1985, if DSS decides to
- 24 invoke the Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing rights
- with respect to a future law change, DSS shall so inform
- plaintiffs no later than the date specified in paragraph 13
- 27 concerning notice of proposed explanations of reasons for
- 28 intended action.

1	VIII
2	PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED WHEN THE
3	USE OF SECTION 10950 IS AUTHORIZED
4	45. In those situations where the use of the
5	Section 10950 limitation of hearing rights is authorized and
6,	DSS elects to use such limitation, DSS shall use the following
7	procedures:
8	a. The Notice of Action forms sent to the
9	recipients shall contain the same language regarding
10	appeal rights and aid-paid-pending that would be used in
11	situations where the Section 10950 limitation did not
12	apply.
13	b. If a recipient files a request for
14	hearing, the matter shall be set for hearing in the same
15	manner as any other request for hearing would be handled,
16	regardless of the reasons, if any, specified in the
17	request.
18	c. DSS shall not use any prehearing screening
19	process to dismiss such appeals. However, nothing
20	herein shall be construed to prohibit the use by DSS or
21	the counties of existing procedures to effectuate
22	withdrawals of hearing requests by the mutual consent of
23	the recipient and the county pursuant to the provisions
24	as now provided in Division 22 of the DSS Manual of
25	Policies and Procedures (MPP), §§ 22-023.232 and 22-054.11
26	or, as amended to comply with the terms of this Consent
27	Decree.

CONSENT DECREE RE PLTF'S FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

d. Except as provided by paragraph 45(e) below, the hearing afforded a recipient when the Section 10950 limitation on fair hearing rights is imposed shall be governed by the same requirements of State and Federal Law that govern hearings under other circumstances. Specifically, the recipient shall enjoy, but not be limited to, those rights provided in MPP § 22-049-53. The present state fair hearing practices do not provide for telephone fair hearings. Plaintiffs do not stipulate that telephonic hearings would comply with State or Federal Law.

- e. If, at the hearing, the hearing officer decides that based on the written and oral record the recipient was complaining only about the wisdom of the law, not its validity or its application to the recipient's circumstances, the hearing officer may terminate the hearing and DSS may, by written decision, dismiss the appeal.
- f. Within six months of the signing of this decree, DSS shall submit to the Office of Administrative Law amendments to Division 22 of MPP to comply with the provisions of this Decree, using the normal non-emergency notice and comment procedure.
- 46. The parties agree that the question of whether Due Process permits the state to deny AFDC recipients a hearing on the merits of their challenge to the intended action based on a determination that the recipient is only challenging the validity of a law change with respect to

1	which the Section 10950 limitation on hearing rights is
2	invoked is not resolved by this Consent Decree. Such question
3	shall be presented to the Court by way of cross-motions for
4	summary judgment on a date to be agreed between the parties,
5	pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. In the
6,	event that defendants prevail on that issue, paragraph 45(e)
7	shall be deemed modified accordingly. Any party may appeal
8	the Court's ruling to the same extent it could appeal any
9	other ruling of the Court.
10	TN
11	IX
12	RECOUPMENT OF AMOUNTS RESTORED PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER
13	47. On October 15, 1982, the Court entered an
14	Order Prohibiting Recoupment which enjoined DSS from recouping
15	(or otherwise recovering) as "overpayments" the amounts
16	restored recipients pursuant to the Temporary Restraining
17	Order and Preliminary Injunction issued in this action. DSS
18	appealed the Order Prohibiting Recoupment to the Court of
19	Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. That appeal (USCA No. 82-4616)
20	is still pending.
21	48. In order to avoid further litigation on the
22 .	issues raised by the aforesaid appeal, the parties agree, as
23	follows:
24	(a) DSS will move the Court of Appeals to
25	dismiss its appeal. Plaintiffs will agree to support
26	DSS in its motion. If the Court of Appeals does not
27	dismiss the appeal, the provisions of paragraph 48(e) of
28	this Part IX shall no longer be binding on the plaintiffs.

1	(b) DSS will continue to instruct the counties
2	to discontinue or dismiss any actions to recoup or
3	recover amounts covered by the Order Prohibiting Recoupment.
4	(c) DSS will take all necessary steps to
5	comply with the Order Prohibiting Recoupment with
6.	respect to any individual case in the administrative
7	"fair hearing" process or before the courts.
.8	(d) With respect to Solano County, DSS will
9	fully enforce compliance with the Order Prohibiting
10	Recoupment, the Contempt Orders dated July 2 and 16,
11	1982, and the Order Re: Recoupment dated July 16, 1982,
12	both retroactively and prospectively.
13	(e) Plaintiffs agree that except with respect
14	to Solano County they will not seek, in any forum,
15	either (1) retroactive application of the Order Prohibiting
16	Recoupment for periods prior to October 15, 1982, or
17	(2) enforcement of the Order Prohibitng Recoupment for
18	the period October 15, 1982 to April 21, 1983.
19	X
20	MODIFICATIONS OR REVISIONS OF THIS DECREE
21	49. Any party may, by appropriate noticed motion,
22	seek changes in the provisions agreed to in this Decree. The
23	party seeking any changes may base its request upon a change
24	in statutory or decisional law or upon a showing that the
25	matters agreed to above have operated or will operate inequitably.
26	
27	
28	

1	XI
2	ATTORNEYS FEES
3	50. This Consent Decree neither addresses nor
4	resolves plaintiff's entitlement to an award of attorneys
5	fees and the amount thereof; such issues are reserved for
6,	separate determination by the Court upon appropriate applica
7	tion by plaintiffs.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
8	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED CONSENT TO T	HE ENTRY OF THIS DECREE.
2		
3 4 5 6	JOHN VAN DE KAMP California Attorney General By JOHN J. KLEE, JR. Deputy Attorney General California Attorney General's Office	GIRVAN PECK JOHN W. SPIEGEL DOUGLAS COLE GRIJALVA PORTIA R. MOORE MORRISON & FOERSTER One Market Plaza Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105
9	6000 State Building 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102	By: JOHN W. SPIECEL
10	Attorney for Defendant	Mn 11 21 01
11	Linda McMahon	MARK N. AARONSON
12	C Cu CTAIL	San Francisco Lawyer's Committee for Urban
13	JEFFREY J. FULLER Deputy Attorney General	Affairs 625 Market Street, Ste. 915
14	California Attorney General's Office	San Francisco, CA 94105
15	1515 "K" Street, Ste. 511 Sacramento, CA 94814	MARILYN BAPLAN KATZ
16	Attorney for Defendant	Western Center on Law & Poverty, Inc.
17	Michael Franchetti	3535 West Sixth Street
18		
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

Notice of Action

If you have questions or want more information about this action, please contact your worker,		Case Name Case Number Worker Phone Date	: : :	•	
	•				
•	•				
Description of the Action. Effective to \$ to \$ to \$ Discontinued.		ash grant will be:			·
Reason for the Action — Law Change. This action is an in the following State or Federal law(s):				recipients and is r cription of the cha	
			•		
Comments:					
Grant Computation.					
A. Total Earned Income B. Less: Work Related Expenses Allowed C. Less: Dependent Care Expenses Allowed D. Less: Income Exemption Allowed E. Plus: Other Countable Income (See Comments) F. Less: Court Ordered Child Support Paid G. Equals: Net-Nonexempt Income	** ***********************************	J. Less: K. Plus: L. Equals total	Grant Authorize	ed	
Regulations. This action is required by the following State Policies and Procedures Sections 22-003.12, 22-054.1.	regulations which	are available for re	eview at the count	y welfare departm	ent - Manual of
Child Support (If Aid is Discontinued). If you ask and collect child support. If the county now collects you, or that the absent parent send support directly to	. Vour support havi	ney's office can still ments, you can ask	help you locate a that this service of	n absent parent, e ontinue and the pa	stablish paternity, syments be sent to

Family Planning Services. Information is available from the county welfare department on request.

No Right to a State Hearing to Appeal a State or Federal Law Change. A State Hearing will not be granted to appeal a State or Federal law change requiring that an automatic grant adjustment be made for an entire class of recipients. The above action is required by a change in the law. If you ask for a State Hearing to appeal this change in the law, your request will not be granted and you will not be entitled to have your aid continued unchanged.

Right to a State Hearing to Appeal Incorrect Application of Law. You may appeal this action if you believe that the new law has been incorrectly applied in your case or if you believe that the arithmetic is wrong. If you ask for a State Hearing because you believe that an error has been made and your request is made before the effective date of this action, your aid may continue unchanged. Read the back for further information about your right to appeal this action.

Notice of Action

you have questions or want more information Case Name Sout this action, please contact your worker. Case Number Worker Phone Date escription of the Action, effective ___ ___, your cash grant will be: Changed from \$ ___ _____ to \$_ Discontinued. eason For the Action - Law Change. This action includes an automatic grant adjustment made for an entire class of ecipients which is required by change in the following State or Federal law(s): rescription of the change in law: Limited Right to a State Hearing to Appeal a State/Federal Law Change. A hearing will not be granted to appeal a change in State or Federal law which requires that an automatic grant adjustment be made for an entire class of recipients. If you ask for a State hearing to appeal the change in the law cited above, your request will not be granted, and you will not be entitled to have your benefits continue unchanged. However, if you believe that the new law has been incorrectly applied in your case or if you believe that the arithmetic is wrong, see "Your Right to a State Hearing" at the bottom of the page. eason For the Action - Change in Circumstances. This action is also required because of the following change(s) in your household's rcumstances: rant Computation. . Total Earned Income H. Maximum Grant for _____ Persons B. Less: Work Related Expenses Allowed I. Less: Amount on Line G C. Less: Dependent Care Expenses Allowed D. Less: Income Exemption Allowed E. Plus: Other Countable Income (See Comments) K. Plus: _ F. Less: Court Ordered Child Support Paid 3. Equals: Net Nonexempt Income L. Equals total Grant Authorized egulations. This action is required by the following State regulations which are available for review at the county welfare epartment - Manual of Policies and Procedures Sections 22-003.12. 22-054.1. Child Support (If Aid is Discontinued). If you ask, the District Attorney's office can still help you locate an absent parent,

establish paternity, and collect child support. If the county now collects your support payments, you can ask that this service continue and the payments be sent to you, or that the absent parent send support directly to you.

family Planning Services. Information is available from the county welfare department on request.

Your Right to a State Hearing. You may appeal this action if you believe that the new law has been incorrectly applied in your case or if ou believe that the arithmetic is wrong, or if you believe that any other part of this action is wrong, your aid may continue unchanged f you ask for a State hearing before the effective date of the action. Read the back for more information about your right to appeal his action.

1			EXHIBIT C
2			
3	The	follo	owing list represents plaintiffs' and
4	defendants' ag	reeme	ent as to the reasons for discontinuances
5	and decreases	in th	ne AFDC program that shall be reviewed and,
6.	when appropria	te, ı	cevised as provided in paragraph 23(b) of
7	the Consent De	cree.	
8	List of	Reaso	ons for Decreases and Discontinuances
9	1.	Inel	igible Child (decrease and discontinuance).
10		a.	Eligible Child Left Home
11		b.	Child Not in School
12		c.	Age of Child.
13	2.	Fail	ure to Provide Information (discontinuance,
14		decr	ease and penalty).
15		a.	Reasons Related to CA7 Form incomplete
16			and failure to submit
17		b.	CA-2 Re-verification
18		c.	Other essential information
19	3.	Fail	ure to Register for Work.
20	4.	150%	Income Test (discontinuance and suspension)
21	5.	Prin	cipal Wage Earner.
22 .	6.	Prop	erty Limit.
23	7.	\$10	Minimum Payment.
24	8.	Chan	ges in Non-Exempt Income FBU Employment
25		Rela	ted.
26		a.	Changes in mandatory payroll tax with-
27			holdings
28			

1.		b. Changes in child care expenses
2		c. Changes in earnings from employment
3		d. Change in status regarding full-time and
4		part-time employment
5	9.	30 and 1/3 four-month rule (discontinuance and
6		decrease).
7	10.	Reasons Related to Stepparent Income.
8	11.	Common Changes in Non-Earned Income, e.g.,
9		Unemployment Insurance, SDI, Social Security.
10	12.	Reasons Related to Pregnancy or Unborn Benefits.
11	13.	Overpayments.
12		a. Notice of Overpayment On-going eligibility
13		b. Notice of Overpayment Discontinuance
14		c. Overpayment Adjustment
15		d. FOverpayment Recovery New FBU
16	14.	Receipt of Lump Sum Income.
17		a. Anticipated
18		b. Ineligible One Month Reported and
19		Suspended
20		c. Reported
21	15.	Alien Eligibility.
22 .		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

rgur right to Appeal This Action

If you are dissatisfied with the action, scribed on the other side, or any other county action, you may request a state hearing before a Hearing Officer of the State Department of Social Services. This hearing will be conducted in an informal manner to assure that everyone present is able to speak freely. Your county or adoption worker can help you request a hearing. If you decide to request a hearing you must do so WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE.

FOOD STAMPS AND CASH AID†: If this action stops or reduces your food stamps or cash aid and you ask for a hearing before the effective date of the action, your benefits may continue unchanged under certain circumstances until the hearing or until you receive your hearing decision. Food Stamps will not continue past the end of your current certification period.

Authorized Representative

You can represent yourself at the state hearing. You can also be represented by a friend, attorney or any other person, but you are expected to arrange for the representative yourself. You can get help in locating free legal assistance by calling the toll-free number of Public Inquiry and Response.

How to Request a State Hearing

The best way to request a hearing is to fill in and send this entire notice to:

Office of the Chief Referee State Department of Social Services 744 P Street, Mail Station 6-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

You may also request a hearing by calling the toll-free number of Public Inquiry and Response.

Public Inquiry and Response (Public Information) Toll-Free Number: (800) 952-5253* For the Deaf Only TDD (800) 952-8349*

*You may have to dial "1" first.

The State Public Inquiry and Response Unit can provide you with further information about your hearing rights or files or other welfare-related matters. Assistance is also available in some languages other than English, including Spanish. You may phone, write or come in.

Public Inquiry and Response State Department of Social Services 744 P Street, Mail Station 16-23

			Sacramento, CA 95814	10-23
Request for a Stat	e Hearing			
Name	<u> </u>		Phor	ne number
Address)
Aut 633		City	State	Zip Code
I am requesting a state hearing i	pecause of an action by the welfare	40-1		
to my family's:	[T]			county related
Reasons for my request:	Food Stamps Medi-	Cal L A	doption Assistance Program F	Payments
reasons for my request;				
				
			-	
	•			
I speak a language other the	an English and need an interpreter f	or my hanein	- /Th	
Language	o and an interpreter i	District	g. Tine state will provide the	interpreter at no cost to you.)
		Dialect		
you have no other income or re-	and your benefits continue unchar ocision finds you were not eligible sources, your grant will be reduced to available property, the amount your	\$00/ I	remain ending to teceive c	ash aid after the hearing, and
Check here if you want your bene	efits reduced or discontinued now, a	s described in	n this Notice of Action	
. [_ Cash Aid	Food Star	ns	
If you checked the box(es) and the	e hearing decision is in your favor, a	ny lost benef	its will be made up.	
Signature			Date	
The information you provide on to request for a hearing, and process	his form is needed to process your sing may be delayed if your request	do so t	by contacting Public Inquiry as	nd Response. Any information

rearing, and processing may be delayed if your request is incomplete. A case file will be set up by the Chief Referee. You have a right to examine the materials that make up the file and may

you provide may be shared with the county weifare department, with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or the U.S. Department of Agriculture Authority W&IC 10950.

1	ORDER APPROVING CONSENT DECREE
2	The issues presented by the First Cause of Action
3	of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint are easy to state but
4	difficult to solve. While the rule that welfare recipients
5	are entitled to constitutionally adequate notices affecting
6.	their grants is not disputed, the determination of what
7	constitutes an "adequate" notice in a particular case is made
8	difficult when one considers the varying levels of education
9	and literacy of the welfare recipient community, the often
10	complicated conceptual ideas to be communicated and the time
11	problems inherent in implementing legislative changes in the
12	welfare rules. The parties have worked long and hard at
13	devising a plan to assure that in the future, notices to
14	welfare recipients will be as good as possible in light of
15	the many constraints on the system. The Court, at the
16	request and with the consent of the parties, has taken an
17	active role in those negotiations.
18	Based on its knowledge of the problem and its role
19	in the negotiations, the Court is satisfied that the above
20	Consent Decree is fair, just and equitable and should be
21	approved. The Court finds that the Consent Decree will work
22	advantageously as to all members of the class and that no
23	individual plaintiff, class representative or class segment
24	has received any special consideration or treatment to the
25	disadvantage of any other individuals or class segments.
26	The Court is aware of Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23(e)
27	which requires that notice of a proposed compromise should be
28	given to the absent class members prior to court approval.

1	The Court is convinced, howe	ver, that I	Rule 23(e)	was never
2	intended to require pre-appr	oval notice	e in cases	such as this
3	where the consent decree is	essentially	y "interloo	cutory" in
C;	nature and contemplates futu	re court a	ction. In	this case,
5	final court action will occu	r when the	reviewed a	and/or
6	revised notices and forms ar	e presente	i to the Co	ourt for
7	approval. See, Cunningham v	. English,	269 F.2d 5	539 (D.C.
8	Cir. 1959)			
9			•	
10	Accordingly, it is	hereby or	dered that	the Consent
11	Decree is approved.			
12		የ ሀር፣ ፕሊክ	i E uruntnoo	
13	Colombination		. Henderson	
14		TED STATES	DISTRICT 3	JUDGE
15				·
16	Dat	ed:	JUN 2 0 1983	
17	,			
18	3		•	
19				
	}		•	
20				
20 21)			
) -			
21				
21 22				
21 22 23				
21 22 23 24				
21 22 23 24 25				